

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,066	08/25/2006	Scott Anthony Benzie	TS6409US	4500
23632 7590 68/29/2008 SHELL OIL COMPANY P O BOX 2463			EXAMINER	
			ANDREWS, DAVID L	
HOUSTON, TX 772522463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/554.066 BENZIE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit David Andrews 3672 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,9,10,12-15,17 and 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,4,6,8,11,16 and 19-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/2005

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

Claim 4 lacks antecedent basis for "the previous casing." Claim 2 defines "the previous casing" and claim 4 will be examined as if dependent from claim 2, which is believed to be applicants intent.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of these claims recites either "each step [a, b, or c]" or "each repeated step [a, b, or c]", while the method of these claims only recites one instance of each of these steps. The apparent claiming of multiple instances of each steps, without a more positive recitation of a repetition of these steps, leaves the scope of the claims indefinite. It should be noted that claim 2 provides the method of repeating these steps, and changing the dependency of these claims to stem from claim 2 would remove this rejection.

Claims 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Application/Control Number: 10/554,066

Art Unit: 3672

applicant regards as the invention. These claims are ambiguously constructed and indeterminate in scope because they purport to claim both an apparatus and method of using or practicing the apparatus in a single claim.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12-15, 17 and 18 are allowed.

Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 11 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not disclose a method of creating a borehole by drilling a section of borehole and lowering an expandable tubular element, radially expanding the lower portion of the element, separating an upper portion of the element to allow the portions to be relatively moved and lowering the separated upper portion through the expanded lower portion, as specifically recited in the claimed combination.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Burge (US 7,178,601), Simpson et al. (US 7,004,264) and Metcalfe et al. (US 6,742,606) all disclose methods of creating a borehole with

expandable tubing, but none disclose separating a tubing above a previously expanded portion and lowering the separated portion through the expanded portion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Andrews whose telephone number is (571)272-6558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J. Bagnell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3672

DLA 8/12/08